IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO
WRIT PETITION NO : 19569 of 1994

Between:

1 Mannem Mohan Rao, S/o.Gopalam
R/o.Vemavaram village, Gudlavalleru Mandal,
Krishna Dist.
2 Mannem Kameswaramma, W/o.Mohana Rao
R/o.Vemavaram village, Gudlavalleru Mandal,
Krishna District.
3 Mannem Gopal Rao, S/o.Mohana Rao
R/o.Vemavaram village, Gudlavalleru Mandal,
Krishna District.
4 Mannem Venkateswaramma, W/o.Gopala Rao
R/o.Vemavaram village, Gudlavalleru Mandal,
Krishna Dist.

.....
PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Dist Collector
Krishna District, at Machilipatnam.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Gudivada
Krishna District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer
Gudlavalleru Mandal,
Krishna District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the Proceedings in R.C.B1-6932/94, dated 14-9-1994 of the 1st respondent and to quash the same, by holding the action of the 3rd

respondent in rejecting the Form.No.6 submitted by the petitioners for inclusion of their names in the voters list of Vemavaram Village and rejecting the appeal by the 1st respondent, is illegal, unjust, subversive of Article 14 of the Constitution of India, bad in the eye of law, and also in violation of principles of natural, justice, and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

For the Petitioner:MR.E.V.S.S.ACHARYULU, Advocate

For the Respondents:G.P. FOR REVENUE

The Court Made the Following

ORDER:

On the eve of elections to Panchayats in 1994, aggrieved by non-inclusion of their names in voters' list of Vemavaram Village, petitioners filed appeal before first respondent, which was rejected. The same is questioned in the Writ Petition. By very nature of the case, nothing survives in the Writ Petition. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

22.6.2004

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The District Collector, Krishna District,
   Machilipatnam.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Gudivada,
   Krishna District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gudlavalleru Mandal
   Krishna District
4. 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Revenue,
   High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5.2CD copies.

bnr

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**LOBIS**}*